

REMARKS

In the October 1, 2001, Office Action, the Examiner stated that the reply filed on August 16, 2001 was not fully responsive to the prior Office Action because applicant did not correct the defective reissue oath/declaration by identifying at least one error which is relied upon to support the reissue application. Therefore, applicant hereby submits the attached revised reissue declaration which identifies at least one error relied upon to support this application.

CONCLUSION

In view of the foregoing, applicant respectfully submits that the present invention represents a patentable contribution to the art and the application is in condition for allowance. Early and favorable action is accordingly solicited.

FAX COPY RECEIVED

Respectfully submitted, MAY 2 2002

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2800

Dated: March 1, 2002

David M. Hill
Reg. No. 46,170
WARD & OLIVO
708 Third Avenue
New York, New York 10017
(212) 697-6262